

**GOVERNMENT OF INDIA  
MINISTRY OF TEXTILES  
OFFICE OF THE TEXTILE COMMISSIONER  
POST BAG NO. 11500 : MUMBAI - 40020  
Fax : 022-22004693 : E-mail : [atufs.mum-textiles@gov.in](mailto:atufs.mum-textiles@gov.in)  
Website : [www.txcindia.gov.in](http://www.txcindia.gov.in)**

No. TS-16014/1/2023/TUFS /313

16  
Date :- 03/08/2023

**ADDENDUM**

Please refer to minutes of the 35<sup>th</sup> meeting of Technical Advisory Monitoring Committee (TAMC) under ATUFS held on 10<sup>th</sup> July, 2023 circulated vide TS-16014/1/2023/TUFS/62 dated 12<sup>th</sup> July, 2023.

**Relevant Matter mentioned in Agenda No. 8 is as follows :-**

*"The SG of AEPC stated that the document which has been referred in agenda is regulation and not Act. AEPC would like to present the act before the TXC office to understand the issue in detail. However the incongruency in the regulations and customs Act are not clear/ tenable. AEPC also insisted that case be looked at under EPCG guidelines and COO may not be insisted upon. It was discussed that such cases have been settled by OTXC based on the previous decision of COO committee and TAMC.*

Decision of 35<sup>th</sup> TAMC :-As such it was decided that the previous decisions taken for similarly placed cases, including those covered under EPGC, Re-Export Cases, requirement of COO / counter signature as per Country's guidelines etc.to confirm origin be examined together on file for common decision on the issue and not for a single case. After examination decision can be brought to the TAMC. As such it may need to be confirmed that decision is for the whole machine and not for Parts, where it may contravene the very basis of incentive support for bench mark technology import.

**To be read as**

*AEPC had furnished an extract of Regulation of Import and export of Singapore. Entire copy of the regulation can be furnished to the O/o the TxC and its authenticity can be verified at their end. It was further informed that if purpose of insisting on submission of COO was to ascertain whether or not the imported machine was new, It should not be insisted in cases where the machines have been imported under EPCG Scheme post April 2015, since only new machines were allowed under EPCG Scheme and it was the responsibility of the customs authority to ensure this.*

However the incongruency in the regulations and customs Act are not clear/ tenable. It was discussed that such cases have been settled by OTXC based on the previous decision of COO committee and TAMC.

Decision of 35<sup>th</sup> TAMC :-As such it was decided that the previous decisions taken for similarly placed cases, including those covered under EPGC, Re-Export Cases, requirement of COO / counter signature as per Country's guidelines etc.to confirm origin be examined together on file for common decision on the issue and not for a single case. After examination decision can be brought to the TAMC. As such it may need to be confirmed that decision is



for the whole machine and not for Parts, where it may contravene the very basis of incentive support for bench mark technology import.

All other matter remains unchanged

This issues with the approval of Chairperson of TAMC.

  
(Ajay Pandit)  
Joint Textile Commissioner

To,

All members of TAMC Meeting.

Copy to :

1. PS to Tx.C. : *For kind information.*
2. Shri Anil Kumar K.C., Under Secretary, MOT, New Delhi.
3. M/s. Silver Touch Technologies Private Ltd., Ahmedabad (Gujarat).
4. Computer Cell of O/o TXC, Mumbai - 400 020.