Dear Members,

AEPC in its efforts to provide the relief, subsidy and resolving the issues being faced by the apparel exporters in TUF Scheme, take up the matter with the concerned authorities from time to time. In this context, various matters have also been taken up by AEPC to be discussed and considered favourably in 18th TAMC meeting held on 09/10/2020. The brief details of the matters which were discussed and the decision taken by the TAMC in the cases represented by AEPC are produced below along with the minutes of the meeting as circulated by the TUF Cell of Office of the Textile Commissioner, Mumbai, for your reference and further necessary action:-

Agenda No. of 18 th TAMC	Agenda Point	Brief detail / Decision Taken by 18th TAMC
Agenda No. 04 (Refer Page 2 of 11 of the enclosed minutes)		It was proposed by the IMSC in its 3 rd meeting that condoning delay for 33 cases (list at Annexure III of 1 page) either due to delay by banks in forwarding UID applications to OTxC or due to problem in submitting through DSC (Digital Signature Certificate) may be considered. On the request of the MOT, 335 cases were reexamined whether the delay in submission of UID applications was on the part of banks or not and was proposed that condoning delay for 231 cases (list at Annexure IV of 8 pages) may be considered which were found that the delay for submission of UID applications was on the part of banks. The TAMC in its 18 th meeting deliberated and
		recommended for condoning delay of 33 cases (list at Annexure III) and 231 cases (List at Annexure IV) to IMSC.
Agenda No. 05		The 17 th TAMC decided that the decision of 59 th TAMC shall continue. However, on rerepresentation of the case by AEPC,

(Refer Page 2, 3 & 4 of the enclosed minutes)		opinions/comments were sought from all lending agencies registered under ATUFS. Accordingly, comments from five banks i.e. Canara Bank, Central Bank of India, India Exim Bank, SIDBI and PNB were received.
		The 18th TAMC decided that the in-principle sanction may not be considered due to operational and considering the existing ATUFS Guidelines.
		However, presently comments have been received only from 5 agencies with divergence in the views as well as practices. As such comments are awaited from other lending agencies. It is considered that based on the responses of all lending agencies (at appropriate seniority level reflecting policy position of the Banks) and a dedicated meeting on the issue with the lending agencies/Banks matter can be considered for review in next TAMC.
Decision of	f ITC for ratification by TAN	NC
Agenda No. 1	Request of M/s. Cheer Sagar, Mansarover, Jaipur forwarded by AEPC regarding Inclusion of Digital Printing Machine in	The application of M/s. Cheer Sagar for subsidy under ATUFS on Digital Printing Machine has been rejected on the ground that at present this machine is eligible under ATUFS (MC02-48) for Textile Processing units only. The request was
Page 5 of 11 of the enclosed minutes)		to amend the eligibility criteria as "MC 02-48 : Digital / Ink jet printing machines (for textile processing units and Garment manufacturing unit only)
No. 3	respect to the inclusion of machinery manufacturers	On the advice of 17 th TAMC, an Expert Committee comprising of ITC & TMMA (I) was formed. The Expert Committee accordingly met on 04/09/2020 and 15/09/2020.
Page 6, 7 & 8 of the		The TAMC in its 18th meeting deliberated and decided that since Indian Embassy, itself in their

enclosed minutes)

website has stated that they will accept trade documents for certification only when if the same is signed & certified by the Ministry of External Affairs of the respective countries and even after said certification by the Ministry of External Affairs of the respective countries Indian Embassy in

respective country will only stamp as "Seen in Embassy" and does not bear any responsibility,

hence as recommended by the Expert Committee that if Ministry of External Affairs of the

respective country in certifying clearly the manufacturing activities of textile machine

manufacturers and same is seen and signed by the Indian Embassy situated in respective country

has to be accepted for enlistment subject to other documents as per Circular'6' dated 31.08.2018

are found proper and after due assurance on veracity of documentation and other control checks.

As regard to decision of the Expert Committee in case of Apostille Certificate, it was decided

that any authorized Government body of any country is certifying the manufacturing activities

of the applicant and where an Apostille certificate bears the signature and the capacity of the

signatory with the seal or stamp, then the same may be considered subject to from the same

		country, no certification of Embassy had been submitted earlier by any manufacturer.
Agenda No. 4 (Refer Page 8 of 11 of the enclosed minutes)	Request of Tiruppur Export Knit Printer Association	AEPC had taken up the case of Tiruppur Export Knit Printers Association for the inclusion of 'Computerized Multi Head printing machine for garment and garment panels under MC-02 which is at present appearing under MC-04 i.e. Machinery for Apparel and Garment.
		The ITC recommended to TAMC that the said machine may be covered under MC-02 also.
		The TAMC in its 18 th meeting decided to consider the Computerized Multi head printing machine for garment and garment panels in the Annex. No. MC 04-113 under MC-02 (Processing Segment with 10% CIS) also.

For detailed and better understanding, you are requested to $\frac{\text{Click here}}{\text{ICL}}$ for Minutes of the 18th TAMC meeting held on 09/10/2020.

With regards

Dr. L B Singhal Secretary General-AEPC